## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent 6,642,450. Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer pursuant to 37 CFR §1.321 in which the terminal portion of the term of a U.S. patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration of commonly owned U.S. Patent 6,642,450 has been disclaimed. Accompanying the terminal disclaimer is a check in the amount of \$55.00 under 37 CFR §1.20(d). Please charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as anticipated by Littrell. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Littrell is not seen to disclose two bases rotatably provided at opposite sides of the housing as set forth in claim 1. The plate-like fitting 13 is merely pivotally moveable into and out of a nested engagement with the base 12, and does not satisfy either the requirement for two bases provided at opposite sides of the housing or the requirement to rotate. The sockets 29 are fixed on the same side of the device 10 and when the cover 15 is in place the plate 13 cannot pivot.

As a result of the foregoing, applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue, which the

Examiner feels would best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, he is urged to contact Applicant's attorney at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 26, 2004

William E. Pelton Reg. No. 25,702 Donald S. Dowden Reg. No. 20,701

Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

(212) 278-0400

Attorneys for Applicant